

Exercise 1 (Approximation of the tangential cone). Let $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F} = G^{-1}[K]$ be regular.

(a) Show that

$$\text{dist}(x - \bar{x}, T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})) = o(\|x - \bar{x}\|_X) \quad (1)$$

for $\mathcal{F} \ni x \rightarrow \bar{x}$.

(b) Give an alternative proof of Lemma 3.47, so show that there exists a map $h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})$ with

$$\|h(x) - (x - \bar{x})\|_X = o(\|x - \bar{x}\|_X) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{F} \ni x \rightarrow \bar{x}.$$

Solution.

(a) We use Theorem 3.19 by Robinson about metric regularity. A special case of the theorem, cf. Remark 3.20, says that if $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ is regular for the constraint $G(x) \in K$, then there exist $c, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{F}) \leq c \text{dist}(G(x), K) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in B_{\delta, X}(\bar{x})$.

The idea is to use this result for a suitable constraint such that we are able to reproduce (1) with (2). The feasible set \mathcal{F} now needs to be $T_\ell(G, K, G(\bar{x}))$, so we propose the constraint $G'(\bar{x})d \in T(K, G(\bar{x}))$, since $T_\ell(G, K, G(\bar{x}))$ is exactly defined to consist of all those $d \in X$ for which $G'(\bar{x})d \in T(K, G(\bar{x}))$.

As we have to show (1) for $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$, the designated regular point of the new constraint is $\bar{d} = 0$. To verify that this is indeed a regular point for the constraint $G'(\bar{x})d \in T(K, G(\bar{x}))$, consider RCQ for this new problem:

$$0 \stackrel{!}{\in} \text{int} \{G'(\bar{x})\bar{d} + G'(\bar{x})X - T(K, G(\bar{x}))\} = \text{int} \{G'(\bar{x})X - \overline{\text{cone}(K, G(\bar{x}))}\}.$$

On the other hand, we already know that \bar{x} is regular for the original constraint $G(x) \in K$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in \text{int} \{G(\bar{x}) + G'(\bar{x})X - K\} &= \text{int} \{G'(\bar{x})X - (K - G(\bar{x}))\} \\ &\subset \text{int} \{G'(\bar{x})X - \overline{\text{cone}(K, G(\bar{x}))}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \bar{d} is indeed regular for the new constraint. Hence, Theorem 3.19 gives us that there exist $c, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(d, T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})) \leq c \text{dist}(G'(\bar{x})d, T(K, G(\bar{x})))$$

for all $d \in B_{\delta, X}(0)$. It remains to show that $\text{dist}(G'(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x}), T(K, G(\bar{x}))) = o(\|x - \bar{x}\|_X)$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$ close to \bar{x} . This follows from observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(G'(\bar{x})d, T(K, G(\bar{x}))) &= \inf_{h \in T(K, G(\bar{x}))} \|h - G'(\bar{x})d\|_X \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\lambda \geq 0, \\ h \in K}} \|\lambda(h - G(\bar{x})) - G'(\bar{x})d\|_X \\ &\leq \inf_{h \in K} \|h - G(\bar{x}) - G'(\bar{x})d\|_X \end{aligned}$$

for all $d \in X$, because then the form $d = x - \bar{x}$ with $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and the choice $h = G(x) \in K$ yields

$$\text{dist}(G'(\bar{x})d, T(K, G(\bar{x}))) \leq \|G(x) - G(\bar{x}) - G'(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x})\|_X = o(\|x - \bar{x}\|_X)$$

by F-differentiability of G .

- (b) Having (1) at hand, we know that by definition of the distance, for every $x \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $h = h(x) \in T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})$ such that

$$\|h(x) - (x - \bar{x})\|_X \leq \text{dist}(x - \bar{x}, T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})) + \|x - \bar{x}\|_X^2.$$

This choice already gives rise to the searched-for map $h: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_\ell(G, K, \bar{x})$ since the right-hand side in the foregoing inequality is already of order $o(\|x - \bar{x}\|_X)$ by (1).

Exercise 2 (Necessary optimality conditions for a simply constrained problem). Let X be a Banach space with $K \subseteq X$ nonempty and convex. Let further $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $U \supset K$ is an open set, be twice G -differentiable around the locally optimal solution \bar{x} of the optimization problem

$$\min f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in K. \quad (\text{OP})$$

- (a) Show that \bar{x} satisfies

$$\langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{X^*, X} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K$$

and

$$f''(\bar{x})[x - \bar{x}, x - \bar{x}] \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K \text{ with } \langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{X^*, X} = 0.$$

- (b) Now suppose that $X = L^2(\Omega)$ for some domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and let

$$K := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : a \leq w \leq b\},$$

where $a, b \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $a < b$ almost everywhere on Ω . Consider $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \in L^2(\Omega)$, so the representation of $f'(\bar{x}) \in L^2(\Omega)^*$ w.r.t. the $L^2(\Omega)$ -scalar product. Find pointwise (almost everywhere) conditions on $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ from the necessary optimality conditions derived in the foregoing part of this exercise.

- (c) Derive the KKT-conditions for (OP) and compare them with the pointwise conditions on $\nabla f(\bar{x})$.

Solution.

- (a) The proofs work exactly as in Nonlinear Optimization. Since we know that \bar{x} is locally optimal for (OP), that K is convex and that f is G-differentiable around \bar{x} , we find

$$0 \leq \frac{f(\bar{x} + t(x - \bar{x})) - f(\bar{x})}{t} \quad \text{for all } x \in K, t \in [0, 1],$$

hence

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{f(\bar{x} + t(x - \bar{x})) - f(\bar{x})}{t} = \langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{X^*, X} \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

For the second assertion, consider the Taylor expansion of $t \mapsto f(\bar{x} + t(x - \bar{x}))$ and observe that

$$0 \leq f(\bar{x} + t(x - \bar{x})) - f(\bar{x}) = t \langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{X^*, X} + \frac{t^2}{2} f''(\bar{x})[x - \bar{x}, x - \bar{x}] + o(t^2)$$

as $t \searrow 0$. If now $\langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{X^*, X}$, then we obtain

$$f''(\bar{x})[x - \bar{x}, x - \bar{x}] \geq \frac{o(t^2)}{t^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0.$$

- (b) The gradient $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \in L^2(\Omega)$ is defined to be precisely the function which satisfies

$$\langle f'(\bar{x}), h \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^*, L^2(\Omega)} = (\nabla f(\bar{x}), h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla f(\bar{x})(h) \, dt \quad \text{for all } h \in L^2(\Omega),$$

so in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^*, L^2(\Omega)} &= (\nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla f(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x}) \, dt \quad \text{for all } x \in K. \end{aligned}$$

So, if \bar{x} is locally optimal for (OP), then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla f(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x}) \, dt \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

This allows to derive the following conditions on $\nabla f(\bar{x})$: Consider the set

$$\Omega(b) := \{t \in \Omega : \bar{x}(t) = b(t)\}.$$

We know that $x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \leq 0$ for almost all $t \in \Omega(b)$ and all $x \in K$. Now assume that $\nabla f(\bar{x})(t) > 0$ for some set $\Xi \subseteq \Omega(b)$ with nonzero Lebesgue measure and consider the function $x = \chi_{\Xi} \cdot (a - \bar{x}) + \bar{x} \in K$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla f(\bar{x})(x - \bar{x}) dt = \int_{\Xi} \underbrace{\nabla f(\bar{x})}_{>0} \cdot \underbrace{(a - \bar{x})}_{>0} dt < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \leq 0$ almost everywhere on $\Omega(b)$. Analogously, one shows that $\nabla f(\bar{x}) \geq 0$ almost everywhere on $\Omega(a)$. Finally, $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ must be zero almost everywhere on the set $\Omega(a, b)$ where $\bar{x}(t) \in (a(t), b(t))$ as one sees immediately by considering the functions $x = \chi_{\Xi}(a - \bar{x}) + \bar{x}$ and $x = \chi_{\Xi}(b - \bar{x}) + \bar{x}$ with $\Xi \subseteq \Omega(a, b)$ having nonzero Lebesgue measure.

Altogether, we arrive at

$$\nabla f(\bar{x})(t) \begin{cases} \leq 0 & \text{if } \bar{x}(t) = b(t), \\ \geq 0 & \text{if } \bar{x}(t) = a(t), \\ = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for almost every } t \in \Omega.$$

(c) The KKT conditions for (OP) are given by

$$f'(\bar{x}) + \bar{\lambda} = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\lambda} \in T(K, \bar{x})^\circ.$$

The latter implies that $\langle \bar{\lambda}, d \rangle \leq 0$ for all $d \in \text{cone}(K, \bar{x})$, so in particular

$$\langle f'(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^*, L^2(\Omega)} = \langle -\bar{\lambda}, x - \bar{x} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)^*, L^2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

From here, one argues as above. The KKT conditions thus yield the same necessary pointwise representation of $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ as the “basic” necessary first-order optimality conditions.

Exercise 3. Gotta catch 'em all! Solve the remaining exercises from the previous exercise sheets.